

30. Radical Cations and Radical Anions of Three Bridgehead Azopolycycloalkanes: A Fluid-Solution ESR Study¹⁾

by Georg Gescheidt* and Axel Lamprecht

Institut für Physikalische Chemie, Universität Basel, Klingelbergstrasse 80, CH-4056 Basel

and Christoph Rüchardt and Michael Schmittel


Institut für Organische Chemie, Universität Freiburg, Albertstrasse 21, D-7800 Freiburg

(23.IX.91)

The radical mono-ions of three azoalkanes in which the azo group is connected to the polycyclic alkane moieties at the bridgehead C-atoms, *i.e.* 1,1'-azonorbornane (**1**), 1,1'-azotwistane (**2**), and 1,1'-azobicyclo[3.2.1]octane (**3**), were studied in fluid solution by ESR spectroscopy. According to the ESR parameters and MO models, the radical cations of **1–3** should be considered as σ radicals, whereas the corresponding radical anions are π radicals. INDO calculations point to a remarkable dependence of the ¹⁴N-coupling constants on the geometry at the N-atoms in the radical cations of aliphatic azo compounds.

Introduction. – The radical anions of azoalkanes have been known for a long time [1] [2]. Until 1988, there was no report on radical cations of azoalkanes being detected by ESR spectroscopy. The use of freon matrices and irradiation by γ rays made it possible to record ESR spectra of 2,3-diazabicyclo[2.2.2]oct-2-ene (**4**) [3][4] and some other radicals attributed to azoalkane cations [5] in rigid solution. Only very recently, the radical cation of (*E*)-1,1'-azonorbornane (= (*E*)-1,1'-azobicyclo[2.2.1]heptane; **1**) was reported to be stable in fluid solution [6].

Here, we report, in addition to 1^{\ddagger} , on the radical cations of (*E*)-1,1'-azotwistane (= (*E*)-1,1'-azotricyclo[4.4.0.0^{3,8}]decane; **2**) and (*E*)-1,1'-azobicyclo[3.2.1]octane (**3**), as well as on the radical anions of **1–3**.



¹⁾ Due to a technical error in the final stage of the printing process, the symbols for radical anion (\ddagger) and for radical cation (\ddagger) were replaced by " and +, respectively, in the original contribution, *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1991**, 74, 2094. As this error may cause confusion, the paper is reprinted.

Experimental. – The syntheses of **1–3** are given in [7][8]. Oxidation of **1–3** to their radical cations was performed with tris(2,4-dibromophenyl)ammoniumyl hexachloroantimonate [9] in a CH_2Cl_2 (dried over molecular sieves) soln. The radical anions $\mathbf{1}^\ominus$, $\mathbf{2}^\ominus$, and $\mathbf{3}^\ominus$ were generated by K-metal reduction of the neutral compounds in DME (for **1**) or THF (for **2** and **3**). All reactions were carried out under high vacuum. The ESR spectra were recorded on a *Varian E 9* spectrometer. *g* Factors were determined using a *Bruker ER 035 M* gaussmeter and a *Marconi Instruments 2440* microwave counter. The ENDOR spectra were taken on a *Bruker ESP 300* spectrometer.

Results. – *Radical Cations.* The ESR spectrum of $\mathbf{1}^\oplus$ was identical with that already reported in [6]. The ESR spectra of $\mathbf{2}^\oplus$ and $\mathbf{3}^\oplus$ (*Fig. 1*) consisted of a *quintet* pattern, similar to the one of $\mathbf{1}^\oplus$, with a 1:2:3:2:1 intensity ratio due to hyperfine interaction with two equivalent ^{14}N nuclei. The ^{14}N -coupling constants, a_{N} , are 1.26 [6], 1.16, and 1.19 mT for $\mathbf{1}^\oplus$, $\mathbf{2}^\oplus$, and $\mathbf{3}^\oplus$, respectively (*Table 1*). The *quintet* in the ESR spectrum of $\mathbf{3}^\oplus$ is additionally split into *triplets* by a ^1H -coupling constant, a_{H} (0.28 mT), of two equivalent protons. According to INDO calculations [10], this coupling constant should belong to the bridgehead protons at C(5) (see *Formula*) of the bicyclo[3.2.1]octane moieties²).

A better resolution of the ESR spectra, in order to determine further ^1H -coupling constants, was not achieved. Moreover, we failed to obtain ENDOR spectra, as the ESR spectra of all three radical cations could not be saturated up to a microwave power of 200 mW.

Radical Anions. The ESR spectra of the radical anions of **1–3** together with ENDOR spectra of $\mathbf{1}^\ominus$ and $\mathbf{2}^\ominus$ are displayed in *Fig. 2*. The 1,1'-azonorbormane radical anion, $\mathbf{1}^\ominus$, was stable in a DME solution in the temperature range between -80 and -50° . It was not possible to detect ESR spectra of $\mathbf{2}^\ominus$ and $\mathbf{3}^\ominus$ in DME; however, when THF was used as the solvent, $\mathbf{2}^\ominus$ and $\mathbf{3}^\ominus$ were sufficiently stable from -80 to -70° to be studied by ESR spectroscopy. The ESR spectra of $\mathbf{1}^\ominus$, $\mathbf{2}^\ominus$, and $\mathbf{3}^\ominus$ show a 1:2:3:2:1 *quintet* (*Fig. 2*) spaced by 0.8 mT, due to the coupling constant a_{N} of two equivalent ^{14}N nuclei. The patterns of the ESR spectra remained unchanged in the accessible temperature range, thus indicating no change in the symmetry of all three radical anions. In addition to a_{N} , ^1H -coupling constants could be detected by ENDOR spectroscopy for $\mathbf{1}^\ominus$ and $\mathbf{2}^\ominus$ (*Fig. 2* and *Table 2*); but their values, *i.e.* 0.021, 0.033, 0.045, and 0.069 mT (for $\mathbf{1}^\ominus$), and 0.045, 0.072, and 0.089 mT (for $\mathbf{2}^\ominus$) (*Table 2*), are too close to each other to be assigned to individual positions.

Table 1. *Hyperfine Coupling Constants* [mT] *and g Factors* of $\mathbf{1}^\oplus$, $\mathbf{2}^\oplus$, and $\mathbf{3}^\oplus$ (counterion, SbCl_6^- ; solvent, CH_2Cl_2).

Radical cation	a_{N}	a_{H}	<i>g</i> Factor
$\mathbf{1}^\oplus$	1.26±0.02	–	2.0010±0.0001
$\mathbf{2}^\oplus$	1.16±0.02	–	2.0012±0.0001
$\mathbf{3}^\oplus$	1.19±0.02	0.28±0.02	2.0011±0.0001

²) The INDO open-shell calculations [10] were performed using coordinates of neutral **3**. As no crystal-structure data are available, the geometry of **3** was calculated by a MM1 force-field method modified for azo compounds [11]. For the ESR experiments, a racemic mixture of **3** was used; therefore, the INDO calculations were based on the geometries of (*R,S*)-**3**, (*R,R*)-**3**, and (*S,S*)-**3**. For all of these isomers, the coupling constant of the bridgehead H-atom at C(5) (for C-atom numbering, see *Formula*) was the major one.




Fig. 1. ESR Spectra of $1^{\bullet-}$ (top), $2^{\bullet-}$ (middle), and $3^{\bullet-}$ (bottom) (solvent, CH_2Cl_2 ; counterion, SbCl_6^- ; $T = -78^\circ$)

Table 2. Hyperfine Coupling Constants [mT] and *g* Factors of $1^{\bullet-}$, $2^{\bullet-}$, and $3^{\bullet-}$ (counterion, K^+ ; solvent, DME (for $1^{\bullet-}$) or THF (for $2^{\bullet-}$ and $3^{\bullet-}$)).

Radical anion	a_N	a_H	<i>g</i> Factor
$1^{\bullet-}$	0.80 ± 0.02	0.021 ^{a)} 0.033 ^{a)} 0.045 ^{a)} 0.069 ^{a)}	2.0041 ± 0.0001
$2^{\bullet-}$	0.81 ± 0.02	0.045 ^{a)} 0.072 ^{a)} 0.089 ^{a)}	2.0041 ± 0.0001
$3^{\bullet-}$	0.81 ± 0.02	–	2.0042 ± 0.0001

^{a)} Detected by ENDOR spectroscopy, not resolved in the ESR spectra.




Fig. 2. ESR Spectra of $1^{\cdot-}$ (left, top), $2^{\cdot-}$ (left, middle), and $3^{\cdot-}$ (left, bottom) and ENDOR spectra of $1^{\cdot-}$ (right, top), $2^{\cdot-}$ (right, middle) (solvents, DME (for $1^{\cdot-}$), THF (for $2^{\cdot-}$ and $3^{\cdot-}$); counterion, K^+ ; $T = -78^\circ$).

Discussion. – *General.* The radical ions of the three azoalkanes possess rather similar values a_N , *i.e.* 1.2 mT for the cations and *ca.* 0.8 mT for the anions, respectively. Thus, the nature of the polycyclic substituents plays a minor role in the spin distribution of the radical mono-ions. The ESR spectra are dominated by patterns due to ^{14}N -coupling constants. The ^1H hyperfine splittings are masked by the broad ESR lines; thus, their values must be below 0.1 mT, indicating that only a small part of the spin population is delocalized into the alkyl substituents. Moreover, UV/VIS spectra of **1–3** show nearly identical absorption maxima (**1**: 369 [7], **2**: 369 [7], **3**: 367 [7] nm) implying comparable electronic structures of the chromophoric azo groups. Therefore, we decided to use the simplest azo compound, diimine (H–N=N–H), as a probe for open-shell INDO [10] calculations in order to discuss the a_N values in terms of the geometry at the N-atoms and its effect on the s character of the singly occupied orbitals (SOMO's). For these calculations, the H–N and N=N distances at 1.02 Å and 1.2 Å, respectively, were held constant and the H–N=N bond angles varied, thus achieving (*E*) (C_{2h} symmetry), linear ($D_{\infty h}$ symmetry), and (*Z*) (C_{2v} symmetry) geometries.

Radical Cations. Compared to 2,3-diazabicyclo[2.2.2]oct-2-ene (**4**), the radical cations of the (*E*)-azo compounds **1–3** show remarkably smaller a_N values, *i.e.* *ca.* 1.2 ($1^{\cdot+}$, $2^{\cdot+}$, and $3^{\cdot+}$) vs. 3.14 mT ($4^{\cdot+}$). The INDO calculations on the radical cations of diimine indicate that

the s-spin density and, consequently, the a_N value significantly depends on the geometry at the N-atoms (Fig. 3): for a (*E*)-configuration of diimine, a_N amounts to *ca.* 1.4–2.3 mT, whereas changing to (*Z*)-configuration increases a_N to *ca.* 3.0–3.4 mT. Thus, the nearly identical a_N values point to a similar structure of the $-N=N-$ group in 1^\pm , 2^\pm , and 3^\pm . Furthermore, the calculations indicate that the SOMO is represented by an antibonding σ orbital. This finding is in line with the results obtained from the analysis of PE spectra of various azoalkanes (see *e.g.* [12] [13]).




Fig. 3. ^{14}N Hyperfine-coupling constants of $\text{H}-\text{N}=\text{N}-\text{H}$ depending on geometry, according to INDO calculations.

Radical Anions. The ^{14}N -coupling constants of 1^- , 2^- , and 3^- are identical (0.8 mT; Table 2) within experimental error. This, again, points to a similar structure of the three radical anions. The radical anion of azo(1,1-dimethylethane) has an a_N of 0.824 mT [1] and the corresponding values found for 4^- (0.878 mT [4]) and other radical anions possessing the (*Z*)-configured azo group, *e.g.* 3,3,5,5-tetramethyl-1-pyrazoline (0.918 mT [14]) or 2,3-diazabicyclo[2.2.1]hept-2-ene (0.871 mT [14]), are of comparable size. INDO calculations on $(\text{H}-\text{N}=\text{N}-\text{H})^-$ (Fig. 3) display a π^* -type SOMO bearing a vertical nodal plane between the two N-atoms. The s-spin population in this type of orbitals is, as expected, much less dependent on the geometry of the molecular framework than that in the σ -type radicals.

Conclusions. – The hyperfine data and the model calculations of the radical mono-ions of **1–3** establish that, in line with [3][4][6] but in contrast to the results in [5], the radical cations are of σ -type, whereas the radical anions can be regarded as π -type radicals. This finding is further supported by the g factors of the radical ions: the range of the g factors of

1^+ , 2^+ , and 3^+ is 2.0010–2.0012 (*Table 1*), characteristic of σ radicals [15], being below 2.0023 (the g factor of the free electron). On the other hand, the g factors of 1^- , 2^- , and 3^- are much higher (2.0041–2.0042, *Table 2*), thus providing evidence for π radicals.

Comparison with compound **4**, having the (*Z*)-configured azo group, confirms the remarkable dependence of the s character of the σ -type SOMO upon the geometry at the N-atoms in the radical cations. A similar behavior was already found for the radical cations of hydrazines (see, *e.g.* [16]) and diimines [17][18]. However, to establish more general rules for a_N , the hyperfine data for more radical cations of azoalkanes should be available, and a more detailed model than our crude 'diimine approximation' has to be developed.

We thank the *Swiss National Science Foundation* and the *Fonds der Chemischen Industrie* for financial support. A. L. acknowledges a scholarship of the *Stipendienfonds der Basler Chemischen Industrie*. G. G. thanks Prof. F. Gerson for his help in preparing the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- [1] U. Krynitz, F. Gerson, N. Wiberg, M. Veith, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **1969**, *8*, 755.
- [2] R. Sustmann, R. Sauer, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1985**, 1248.
- [3] F. Williams, Q.-X. Guo, P. A. Petillo, S. F. Nelsen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1988**, *110*, 7887.
- [4] F. Gerson, X.-Z. Qin, *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1988**, *71*, 1498.
- [5] C. J. Rhodes, P. W. F. Louwrier, *J. Chem. Res. (S)* **1988**, 38.
- [6] M. E. Mendicino, S. C. Blackstock, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1991**, *113*, 713.
- [7] F. Goltzke, F. Groeger, A. Oberlinner, C. Rüchardt, *Nouv. J. Chim.* **1978**, *2*, 169.
- [8] M. Schmittl, A. Schulz, C. Rüchardt, E. Hädicke, *Chem. Ber.* **1981**, *114*, 3533.
- [9] D. H. R. Barton, D. J. Bellville, S. A. Gardner, Y. Migron, G. Cogswell, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1* **1975**, 2055; W. Schmidt, E. Steckhan, *Chem. Ber.* **1980**, *113*, 577.
- [10] J. A. Pople, D. L. Beveridge, 'Approximate Molecular Orbital Theory', McGraw-Hill, New York, 1970.
- [11] D. C. Crans, J. P. Snyder, *Chem. Ber.* **1980**, *113*, 1201.
- [12] E. Haselbach, E. Heilbronner, *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1964**, *53*, 684.
- [13] K. N. Houk, Y.-M. Chang, P. S. Engel, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1975**, *97*, 1824.
- [14] C. H. Ess, F. Gerson, W. Adam, *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1991**, *74*, 2078.
- [15] A. G. Davis, J.-Y. Nedelec, R. Sutcliffe, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2* **1983**, 209.
- [16] S. F. Nelsen, T. B. Frigo, Y. Kim, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1989**, *111*, 5387.
- [17] F. Gerson, G. Gescheidt, U. Buser, E. Vogel, J. Lex, M. Zehnder, A. Riesen, *Angew. Chem.* **1989**, *101*, 938, *ibid. Int. Ed.* **1989**, *28*, 902.
- [18] F. Gerson, G. Gescheidt, J. Knöbel, W. B. Martin, Jr., E. Vogel, manuscript in preparation.